## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

		CIVIL MINUT	ES - GENERAL		
Case No.	2:15-cv-4046-SVW-JC			Date	June 10, 2015
Title	Sergio Vare	Varela v. Syrus Gahremand, et al.			
		JS	- 6		
Present: The ST Honorable		STEPHEN V. WILSON, U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE			
Paul M. Cruz			N/A		
Deputy Clerk			Court Reporter / Recorder		
Attorneys Present for P		ent for Plaintiffs:	Attorneys Present for Defendants:		
N/		V/A	N/A		A
Proceedin	igs:	IN CHAMBERS ORDER F	REMANDING THE CA	ASE	
Syr Court's federule, a feder 482 U.S. 38 F.3d 1108, California I No. CV 15- Blvd. Apts. (C.D. Cal. J	rus Gahreman eral question m 36, 392 (1987) 113 (9th Cir. 1 aw, and it rais 15-150-SVW Owner LLC v fan. 9, 2015).	and Lourdes Barragan remijurisdiction. <i>See</i> 28 U.S.C. nust inhere from the plaintifulty (2000). This case, howeverses no apparent issues of fee PLA, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEX. <i>Cabessa</i> , No. CV 14-9902	§ 1331. According to § 1331. According to f's claims for relief. Con, LLC v. Dept. of Head; is an unlawful detain deral law. See, e.g., Maxis 5641, at *1-2 (C.D. 2-SVW-AJW, 2015 US	the w Caterpalth and er acti atthew Cal.	ell-pleaded complaint illar Inc. v. Williams, d Envtl. Quality, 213 on governed by 01 Inv., LLC v. Bloom, Jan. 13, 2015); Burbank LEXIS 3602, at *1-2
argue that the jurisdiction	he unlawful d from a defense ax Bd. v. Cor	g that the complaint raises a etainer violates federal civi se or counterclaim. <i>Vaden astruction Laborers Vacatio</i> exceptions to the well-pleaded reemption, for example — bu	l rights laws. They can v. <i>Discover Bank</i> , 556 on <i>Trust</i> , 463 U.S. 1, 14 complaint rule — the ar	nnot, l U.S. 4 4 (198 tful ple	nowever, establish 19, 60 (2009); 3). Gahreman and eading doctrine and
	готрио р	ou	Initials of Prepare		: PMC

### Case 2:15-cv-04046-SVW-JC Document 4 Filed 06/10/15 Page 2 of 2 Page ID #:44

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

### **CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL**

Case No.	2:15-cv-4046-SVW-JC	Date	June 10, 2015
Title	Sergio Varela v. Syrus Gahremand, et al.		

Barragan therefore failed to carry their burden of establishing subject matter jurisdiction.

The Court REMANDS the case to the California Superior Court for the County of Los Angeles.

	<u> </u>		
Initials of Preparer	PMC		

CV-90 (10/08) CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL Page 2 of 2